KELLY EDWARDS ELEMENTARY 1071 Elko Street Williston, South Carolina 29853 PK-4 Elementary School GRADES 346 Students ENROLLMENT Donna Selvey 803-266-3737 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Alexia C. Clamp 803-266-7878 Jason W. Stapleton 803-266-3285 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 2 41 53 5 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 19 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM
WWW.SCEOC.ORG

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Average	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003	Average	Below Average	No
2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING


Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

47.7%

#### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

**Our School** 

**Elementary Schools with Students like Ours** 



#### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced
Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient
Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic
Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic
Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	,	/ %		/	/	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Objective M.
	h/Langua						07.5		. V
All Students	151	100.0	30.6	41.0	26.4	2.1	37.5	Yes	Yes
Gender	00	400.0	20.4	47.0	40.0	4.4	24.4		
Male Female	80	100.0 100.0	32.4 28.6	47.3 34.3	18.9 34.3	1.4 2.9	31.1 44.3		
Racial/Ethnic Group	/ 1	100.0	20.0	34.3	34.3	2.9	44.3		
White	54	100.0	17.6	39.2	39.2	3.9	52.9	Yes	Yes
African-American	97	100.0	37.6	41.9	19.4	1.1	29.0	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islanders	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	1471		,.	1471		1471	1471	., 0	ijO
Not disabled	105	100.0	22.0	40.0	35.0	3.0	49.0		
Disabled	46	100.0	50.0	43.2	6.8	0.0	11.4	I/S	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	151	100.0	30.6	41.0	26.4	2.1	37.5		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	151	100.0	30.6	41.0	26.4	2.1	37.5		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	117	100.0	36.9	41.4	21.6	0.0	31.5	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	34	100.0	9.1	39.4	42.4	9.1	57.6		

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	151	100.0	32.6	50.0	10.4	6.9	31.3	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	80	100.0	32.4	47.3	13.5	6.8	32.4		
Female	71	100.0	32.9	52.9	7.1	7.1	30.0		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	54	100.0	21.6	47.1	17.6	13.7	39.2	Yes	Yes
African-American	97	100.0	38.7	51.6	6.5	3.2	26.9	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not disabled	105	100.0	25.0	53.0	12.0	10.0	39.0		
Disabled	46	100.0	50.0	43.2	6.8	0.0	13.6	I/S	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	151	100.0	32.6	50.0	10.4	6.9	31.3		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	151	100.0	32.6	50.0	10.4	6.9	31.3		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	117	100.0	39.6	48.6	7.2	4.5	27.0	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	34	100.0	9.1	54.5	21.2	15.2	45.5		

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Holly Editardo Elomonial y										
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced			
		Englis	sh/Langu	age Arts			Í			
Grade 3	73	100.0	22.5	46.5	31.0	N/A	31.0			
Grade 4	92	100.0	35.2	46.6	18.2	N/A	18.2			
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 3	76	100.0	32.9	34.2	28.9	3.9	32.9			
Grade 4	75	100.0	30.7	45.3	24.0	N/A	24.0			
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
			Mathemat	ics						
Grade 3	73	100.0	21.1	62.0	14.1	2.8	16.9			
Grade 4	92	100.0	35.2	45.5	14.8	4.5	19.3			
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 3	76	100.0	40.8	52.6	5.3	1.3	6.6			
Grade 4	75	100.0	26.7	44.0	16.0	13.3	29.3			
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

SCHOOL PROFILE						
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School		
Students (n= 346)						
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	N/C	100.0%	100.0%		
Retention rate	2.1%	Up from 0.2%	3.4%	2.7%		
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	95.9% 0.0%	Up from 90.4%	96.1% 5.2%	96.4% 4.6%		
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.0%		3.5%	3.5%		
Eligible for gifted and talented	23.8%	Down from 24.0%	11.4%	13.5%		
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV		
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV		
With disabilities other than speech	6.1%	Down from 7.1%	9.5%	8.2%		
Older than usual for grade	0.9%	Down from 1.4%	1.3%	0.9%		
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	Down from 0.5%	0.0%	0.0%		
Teachers (n= 28)						
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	28.6% 92.9%	Down from 31.0% Up from 82.8%	47.6% 88.1%	51.4% 87.5%		
Highly qualified teachers**	100.0%	N/A	95.8%	95.0%		
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
Teachers returning from previous year	87.8%	Up from 86.6%	86.4%	86.7%		
Teacher attendance rate	95.3%	Up from 94.8%	94.6%	94.9%		
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$37,214 13.7 days	Up 0.7% Up from 11.6 days	\$39,961 12.9 days	\$40,760 12.4 days		
School						
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 2.0	4.0	4.0		
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.0 to 1	Up from 19.6 to 1	18.5 to 1	18.9 to 1		
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.9% \$5,590	Up from 84.1% Up 6.7%	89.5% \$6,002	90.0% \$6,044		
Percent of expenditures for teacher	67.5%	Down from 69.5%	65.4%	65.9%		
salaries*			0 1	0 1		
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	No change	Good	Good		
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% <b>N</b> o	No change No change	99.0% Yes	99.0% Yes		
Character development program  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Good	Good		
, ·		Our District	5	State		
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	N/A	9	2.0%		
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	N/A	9	1.1%		
•		State Objective	e Met Sta	te Objective		
Highly qualified teachers in this school	**	65.0%		Yes		
Student attendance in this school		95.3%		Yes		
**NOTE: The verification process was not complete	d for the year re	ported: therefore the count of hi	ahly qualified teacher	s may not be accura		

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Our mission is to provide each student with the academic and personal skills they need to be successful at every stage of their life. We realize it takes teachers, school support staff, parents, and community members to achieve all our goals. We strive to involve every stakeholder in the educational process. With your continued support, we can excel in every area.

Our successes reflect the hard work of all stakeholders. This year, Mrs. Harriet Stephens and Teffeny Prince both received Maytag grants, which will assist in providing resources for our students. Kelly Edwards received the South Carolina Red Carpet Award in recognition of its family-friendly atmosphere. Denna Sessions was selected Teacher of the Year for our school.

We would like to recognize the hard work of our PTA. They sponsored the Scholastic Book Fair, Spelling Bee, Back-to-School Carnival, Grandparents Recognition, Winter Shopping Village, and Teacher Appreciation Recognition Week. They ensured that every student received several free books. Their dedication and support truly makes a difference in our school.

Donna Selvey, Principal Sally Fickling, School Improvement Council Chairwoman

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	27	61	55					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	78.7%	84.9%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.6%	53.4%	70.4%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	52.0%	67.2%	70.9%					
*Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included								